

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL997093 Refer to:  
99711-3☐ UNCLASSIFIEDApproved For Release 2005/05/20 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001600010075-3  
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

RDP78B04770A001600010075-3

25X

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT  
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

15 November 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

17

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

Indeterminate

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

Declass Review by NGA.

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Step &amp; Repeat Reseau Printer &amp; Contact Printer

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES☒ NOTHE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☒ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED 60% see Item 1 on reverse side  
PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED 100%

See Item 2 on reverse side.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

## INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT  
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☒ YES☐ NO☐ COST☐ AWARD FEE☒ PERFORMANCE☐ DELIVERYNOTE:  
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.  
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

## OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE7. ☒ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT5. ☐ AVERAGE3. ☐ VERY GOOD6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

## RECOMMENDED ACTION

☐ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☒ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☐ TERMINATE☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

Through GIMRADA - 7 November 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

INSP

FORM  
8-66

1897

Approved For Release 2005/05/20 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001600010075-3  
PREVIOUS EDITION☐ UNCLASSIFIED☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

(12-36)

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2005/05/20 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001600010075-3

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Items 1 and 2. No change since 14 July status report on Printer #2 since stop work order has been in effect.

Printer #1 (GIMRADA) has been returned to [ ] or additional testing and further work. GIMRADA has rejected the proposed test program (dated 10 October) and requested that [ ] submit a proposal to correct the following:

- (a) erratic raw stock metering system
- (b) undesirable "pattern" effect of exposure lights
- (c) frame edge detector accuracy

The proposed test program outlines testing that should have been done by the contractor prior to delivery to GIMRADA for acceptance tests.

The preview and punch station has been returned to [ ] for re-work to correct alignment problem.

It is estimated that 3-4 months will be required to get Printer #1 in acceptable working condition assuming that [ ] can solve the problems listed above.

Item 3. Monthly letter reports # 24, 25, and 26 have been received.

Approved For Release 2005/05/20 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001600010075-3

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET